






EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF NIVOLUMAB PLUS CHEMOTHERAPY VS. CHEMOTHERAPY ALONE IN GASTRIC ADENOCARCINOMA: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

Inbsaat Iqbal, Hameer Ali, Noor Fatima, Erum Siddiqui, Syed Ibad Hussain, Amna Amir Jalal, Asia Batool, Shanza Shakir, Makhzan Ali Akbar, Muhammad Nabeel Saddique; *Mayo Hospital, Lahore, PK*

Background	Results			
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Gastric adenocarcinoma is the fourth leading cause of cancer death worldwide.Standard chemotherapy achieves modest efficacy and is limited by toxicity. Nivolumab, a PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor, appears to restore T-cell function and enhance anti-tumor immunity.The objective is to evaluate the efficacy and safety of nivolumab plus chemotherapy compared with chemotherapy alone in advanced gastric adenocarcinoma.	Outcome	Effect Measure	95% CI	p Value
	Overall Survival	HR 0.80 ↑	0.75 – 0.85	< 0.001
	PD-L1 CPS ≥ 5	HR 0.69 ↑	0.64 – 0.76	< 0.001
	PD-L1 CPS ≥ 1	HR 0.79	0.61 – 1.02	0.07
	Progression-Free Survival	HR 0.73 ↑	0.69 – 0.77	< 0.001
	ORR	RR 1.29 ↑	1.21 – 1.37	< 0.001
	Adverse Events	RR 1.06 ↑	1.04 – 1.08	< 0.001
	Serious (SAEs)	RR 1.80 ↑	1.60 – 2.03	< 0.001
	Treatment Discontinue due to AEs	RR 1.60 ↑	1.47 – 1.74	< 0.001
Results				
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Nivolumab combined with chemotherapy significantly improved OS and PFS compared with chemotherapy alone, with the greatest benefit observed in patients with PD-L1 CPS ≥5.The combination enhanced disease control and objective response rate by 27%, offering meaningful palliative and quality-of-life benefits in advanced gastric and gastroesophageal junction cancers.The analysis was limited by the small number of RCTs, heterogeneity in PD-L1 assessment, and lack of long-term and real-world data.				
	References			
				
Methods				
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Outcomes were pooled as hazard ratios (HRs) and risk ratios (RRs) using a random-effects model.Meta-analyses were conducted using RevMan 5.4. Sensitivity analyses were performed.Certainty of evidence was appraised via GRADE, and risk of bias with Cochrane RoB 2.0.				
	Databases searched			
	<div></div>			
Screening and Data Extraction				
<div></div>				
	Statistical Analysis			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Software: Statistical analyses were conducted using <i>RevMan 5.4</i>.Effect Measures:<i>Hazard Ratios (HRs)</i> with 95% CIs for time-to-event outcomes (OS, PFS).<i>Risk Ratios (RRs)</i> with 95% CIs for dichotomous outcomes (ORR, DCR, AEs) using the Inverse Variance Random-Effects Model (DerSimonian-Laird).Sensitivity and Subgroup analyses to explore I²			